Scalable Fabrication of Porous Microchannel Nerve Guidance Scaffolds with Complex Geometries
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Microchannel scaffolds accelerate nerve repair by guiding growing neuronal processes across injury sites. Although geometry, materials chemistry, stiffness, and porosity have been shown to influence nerve growth within nerve guidance scaffolds, independent tuning of these properties in a high-throughput manner remains a challenge. Here, fiber drawing is combined with salt leaching to produce microchannels with tunable cross sections and porosity. This technique is applicable to an array of biochemically inert polymers, and it delivers hundreds of meters of porous microchannel fibers. Employing these fibers as filaments during 3D printing enables the production of microchannel scaffolds with geometries matching those of biological nerves, including branched topographies. Applied to sensory neurons, fiber-based porous microchannels enhance growth as compared to non-porous channels with matching materials and geometries. The combinatorial scaffold fabrication approach may advance the studies of neural regeneration and accelerate the development of nerve repair devices.

Microchannel scaffolds accelerate nerve repair by guiding growing neuronal processes across injury sites. Although geometry, materials chemistry, stiffness, and porosity have been shown to influence nerve growth within nerve guidance scaffolds, independent tuning of these properties in a high-throughput manner remains a challenge. Here, fiber drawing is combined with salt leaching to produce microchannels with tunable cross sections and porosity. This technique is applicable to an array of biochemically inert polymers, and it delivers hundreds of meters of porous microchannel fibers. Employing these fibers as filaments during 3D printing enables the production of microchannel scaffolds with geometries matching those of biological nerves, including branched topographies. Applied to sensory neurons, fiber-based porous microchannels enhance growth as compared to non-porous channels with matching materials and geometries. The combinatorial scaffold fabrication approach may advance the studies of neural regeneration and accelerate the development of nerve repair devices.

Porous scaffolds with precise microstructures and geometries have benefitted from decades of refinement for a diversity of applications ranging from gas separation,[1,2] water filtration,[3] cell sorting,[4] and tissue regeneration.[5] Applied to nerve repair, these scaffolds are hypothesized to help maintain the organization of nerve bundles and linearly guide growing axons toward their pre-existing targets to restore injured neural pathways (Figure 1a).[6,7] Clinically available nerve guidance implants, however, are either limited in length, which reduces their utility in large (>4 cm) gap injuries,[8] or mechanical flexibility,[8] which can lead to adverse tissue response and pain. Furthermore, clinically available synthetic channels consist of a single lumen, which does not permit preservation of microstructural organization of nerve fibers and growing axons.[9] This, in turn, may result in limited or erroneous innervation of distal targets impeding functional recovery and resulting in formation of painful neuropathies.[10]

To preserve the nerve fiber topography during growth, scaffolds containing multiple individual microchannels have been produced via molding, extrusion, freeze drying, 3D printing, electrospinning, or photolithography.[11–15] The enhanced ability of such multichannel scaffolds to preserve directionality of growing neuronal processes has been corroborated in rodent models of peripheral nerve[16,17] and spinal cord injury.[18–21] Despite the successes of microchannel scaffolds in research models, their translation to clinical use remains impeded by several technological barriers. First, a fabrication technique compatible with a wide range of materials is...
required to optimize the scaffolds’ mechanical and chemical properties for enhanced biocompatibility and improved nerve growth. Second, to enable the transport of nutrients, oxygen, and waste, materials constituting the scaffold walls should support interconnected porosity. Third, the scaffold geometry should resemble the structural complexity of the injured nerve. Fourth, to facilitate translation of synthetic scaffolds from bench to bedside, these devices must be reproducibly manufactured at lengths corresponding to clinically observed nerve gaps with a diversity of cross-sectional dimensions and geometries.

To overcome these technical barriers, we introduce a high-throughput fabrication technique that delivers microchannel scaffolds with flexibility over constituent materials and device dimensions and controlled porosity and digitally pre-defined geometries. Our method relies on thermal drawing of macroscopic multimaterial models, preforms, into microstructured multifunctional fibers. Preforms with centimeter-scale lateral...
dimensions and lengths, composed of multiple materials with similar glass transition (T_g) and melting (T_m) temperatures can be produced via conventional machining and assembly techniques, and then drawn into tens of thousands of meters of fibers with micro-scale lateral dimensions and cross-sectional geometries matching those of the preforms. Although thermal drawing readily delivers microscale devices at high yield, fabrication of porous structures via this method poses a challenge, as pores cannot be programmed at the preform level. Porosity in thermally drawn fibers was recently achieved by leveraging thermally induced phase segregation of a polymer-solvent mixture. This approach, however, imposes restrictions on materials selection and couples pore dimensions to the polymer and solvent properties.

To expand the use of fiber drawing to a wide array of thermoplastics and to precisely control pore sizes, we employed a porogen (sodium chloride, NaCl) loaded into polymers prior to the preform fabrication (Figure 1b). NaCl crystals with the desired dimensions were obtained via filtration and mixed with solutions of thermoplastics that were then cast into films of defined thickness by doctor-blading. For each device, the composite polymer-NaCl films were then rolled around the mandrel of a sacrificial material with a thermal drawing (Figure 1j–m). The thermally drawn conduits could be tuned by varying the stress on the fiber during thermal drawing (Figure 1d–i). Lateral dimensions of the microchannels could be tuned by varying the stress on the fiber during thermal drawing. The thermally drawn composite fibers could then be 3D fuse-printed into complex geometries (Figure 1n–p).

As our approach is largely agnostic to the chemistry of the thermoplastic, we applied it to polycaprolactone (PCL) and polylactic acid (PLA) both of which are ubiquitously used in tissue engineering. Tens of meters of hollow PCL and PLA constructs with circular and rectangular cross sections, inner core dimensions ranging between 50 µm and 3 mm, and wall thicknesses tunable between 20 µm and 1 mm were produced (Figure 2a–f; Figure S1a,b, Supporting Information).

Pore dimensions and their distribution corresponded to those of the NaCl porogen crystals embedded within the polymer matrix (Figure 2g,h). To enable fluid exchange between the neurites growing within the fiber scaffolds and the exterior environment, while avoiding non-directional growth through the pores, the dimensions of the latter should be <100 µm. To further prevent the neuronal migration through the pores we selected NaCl crystals with a size of 11.4 ± 5.26 µm (mean ± standard deviation (SD)) as a porogen for the remainder of our study. To obtain interconnected porosity, numerical analysis estimated the percolation threshold with highly irregular particles (20 faces particle) of similar dimensions to be approximately 30%. We discovered that, while it was possible to produce thermally drawn PCL filaments with porosity exceeding 45 vol%, such structures could not be easily handled or fuse-printed. The PCL filaments with 35 vol% porosity, however, were mechanically robust and suitable for fuse-printing.

To verify interconnected porosity and to determine the time necessary for complete salt removal, we applied elemental dispersion X-ray (EDX) analysis to the PCL channels fabricated with 35 vol% salt. Sacrificial claddings and inner cores were dissolved from 1 cm-long sections of cylindrical PCL channels with an inner diameter of 300 µm and wall thickness of 78 µm. The EDX analysis was performed on the midline cross sections (0.5 cm from each end) of the channels, revealing an average of 20.7% and 96.9% removal of salt following 1 and 24 h in water bath, respectively (Figure 2i–n). In addition, as theoretically predicted and experimentally reported for PCL films, introducing pores reduced the elastic moduli of PCL channels. Tensile testing of PCL channels indicated a decrease in the elastic moduli from 294.3 kPa for solid PCL to 70.0 kPa for PCL with 35 vol% porosity.

While microscale single lumen channels enable nerve guidance in vitro, they have limited utility in repairing nerves with complex architectures in vivo. Consequently, we sought to produce porous scaffolds with multiple inner channels with complex targeted outer geometries, without restrictions on length or cross-sectional dimensions. This was accomplished by applying a filament surface heating technique to 3D print the thermally drawn conduits into digitally defined shapes. Akin to thermal drawing, filament surface heating can be applied to a broad range of thermoplastics enabling the combinatorial processing of materials via sequential use of the two techniques.

Prior to fuse-printing of scaffold structures, the sacrificial polystyrene cladding was chemically etched off the PCL fibers to produce hollow channels. The NaCl crystals, however, were left within the polymer matrix to avoid potential collapse of the pores during the surface heating. The conduits were then fed into a printing nozzle where the surface heating occurred, which resulted in the fusion of adjacent microchannel fibers (Figure S2, Supporting Information). The printed structures were then subjected to salt-leaching to produce multichannel microporous scaffolds at centimeter scales. To illustrate the utility of our approach to produce complex structures, we printed multichannel scaffolds with cross-sectional geometries mimicking that of the spinal cord cross section as well as bifurcated scaffolds that could potentially be applied to bridge transected branched nerves (Figure 3a,b; Figure S3a, Supporting Information). Following salt leaching, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the fuse-printed scaffolds revealed their porous walls analogous to those of the individual fiber channels (Figure 3c,d).

To evaluate the utility of the porous microchannel fibers as conduits for nerve growth, we first evaluated their stability in physiologic conditions. Scaffolds shapes and pore sizes were maintained over 28 days in phosphate buffered saline under gentle agitation at 37 °C (Figure 3e,f; Figure S3b, Supporting Information). Furthermore, an AlamarBlue cytotoxicity assay applied to human embryonic kidney (HEK293) cells cultured in media containing the scaffolds did not reveal any adverse effects on the cell viability (Figure S4, Supporting Information).

The necessity of porous walls for nerve growth within scaffold channels is widely accepted in tissue engineering as...
Figure 2. Porosity in thermally drawn microchannel fibers. a) Non-porous and b) porous PCL fiber channels. Scale bars = 100 µm. c) A magnified image of the dashed box shown in (b). Scale bar = 40 µm. d) Non-porous and e) porous PLA fiber channels. Scale bars = 100 µm. f) An image of the dashed box shown in (e). Scale bar = 40 µm. g) The average sizes of NaCl crystals used for PCL channel fabrication correlated to the average pore sizes. Bars indicate SD. The shaded areas mark the sizes of the meshes used to filter NaCl crystals. h) Pore distributions in fiber channels produced from PCL composites with NaCl crystals of different size ranges. i–k) SEM and l–n) EDX analysis of PCL composites. i,l) Images prior to salt-leaching. j,m) Images following one hour of salt-leaching. k,n) Images following 24 h following salt-leaching. Carbon (C) is marked as blue, Na as red and Cl as green (yellow color corresponds to NaCl). Scale bars = 30 µm.
the porosity facilitates adequate nutrient, waste, and oxygen exchange between the tissue and the local environment.[39]

We sought to evaluate the effects of porosity on nerve growth within our thermally drawn microchannels in vitro. Consistent with prior studies,[40] primary neonatal rat dorsal root ganglia (DRGs) were used as an in vitro model of peripheral nerve growth within the scaffolds. Isolated DRGs were cut in half, and placed at the edges of porous and non-porous thermally drawn PCL channels coated with Matrigel. 12 days following seeding, DRGs placed within the porous channels exhibited longer processes than those placed within non-porous structures as revealed by neurofilament (NF) immunostaining (p < 0.05; post hoc Tukey HSD test, Figure 4a,b). Consistent with prior reports, the migration and growth of Schwann cells, as quantified by S-100 immunostaining, accompanied neurite extension (Figure S5, Supporting Information).[41,42] To test if the nerve growth was reduced within non-porous channels due to lower mass transport or due to the differences in surface morphology, DRGs were also cultured on Matrigel-coated porous and non-porous PCL films and glass coverslips as controls submerged in media with no restrictions on mass transport. In contrast to the findings for nerve guidance channels, similar growth (p > 0.05; post hoc Tukey HSD test) was observed for both films (Figure 4c,d; Figures S5 and S6, Supporting Information), which suggested that mass transport played a more significant role in confined environments. In both porous and non-porous channels, the neurite outgrowth from DRGs extended significantly beyond the lengths observed for DRGs seeded on films (p < 0.05; post hoc Tukey HSD test, Figure 4e).

The combination of fiber drawing and salt-leaching enabled high-throughput fabrication of nerve guidance channels with controlled porosity, stiffness, and dimensions from different thermoplastics. These porous fiber-based channels were further arranged into complex scaffold geometries via filament surface heating fuse-printing. As the latter method takes advantage of digital design, it may enable fabrication of personalized patient-specific scaffolds based on the structural images of injured nerves. Our scalable approach for producing porous structures with pre-defined geometries and lengths may find additional applications outside tissue engineering including fluid filtration and chemical separation.

**Experimental Section**

**Conduit Fabrication via Thermal Drawing:** NaCl (Alfa Aesar) was ground using an automatic ceramic mortar and pestle (Fritsch). Nylon filter meshes with sizes of 28, 50, 79, and 101 µm (McMaster) were then used to select salt particles with size ranges of <28, 28–50, 50–79, and 79–101 µm by first shaking dry over the smaller size mesh and then the mesh with the upper size, when applicable. PCL (MilliporeSigma) was dissolved in chloroform to form a 3 wt% solution. PLA (GoodFellow) was dissolved in a 50:50 volume ratio chloroform and dichloromethane and a 4 wt% solution was obtained. The polymer solutions were mixed with salt at the desired size range and volume percentages (up to 65 vol% salt) using a vortex (VWR). A T-shape aluminum (Al) piece was
machined to a blade with a height of 1 mm for doctor blading. Polymer/salt mixtures were poured on a copper sheath for PCL and glass for PLA, and the Al blade was passed over the mixtures. The films were air-dried for at least 10 min for PCL and 30 min for PLA prior to removal. Film removal was aided by applying ethanol to the films. The solvents were removed in vacuum for over 24 and 48 h for PCL and PLA, respectively. The films were wrapped around polystyrene (PS; McMaster) with a diameter of 25.4 mm diameter PS rod after machining it to have a hole in the middle which corresponded to the fiber outer diameter of 0.7–0.8 mm. The hot end temperature was 165–175 °C, measured by a VWR thermocouple probe. The feeding speed was 100–120 mm min\(^{-1}\) overnight. The samples were then first rinsed with ethanol (VWR) and then placed in water for at least 24 h to remove the salt.

**Conduit Characterization:** Conduits were cut with razor blades and coated with 3 nm of gold particles and imaged with SEM (Model 6010LA; JOEL). Salt and pore size quantification were done with ImageJ software. For EDX analysis, thermally drawn PCL conduits with 35 vol% porosity were thermally drawn as described above. About 5–7 m of the fibers with 0.3 mm inner diameter were placed in cyclohexane for 12 h, with the solution changed three times for the outer polystyrene to be completely removed, while the inner polystyrene was only partially removed. The fibers were subsequently fed into a printing nozzle. The nozzle contained a short stainless steel hot end with a length of 0.3 mm to heat the fibers. The diameter of the hot end was 0.8 mm, which corresponded to the fiber outer diameter of 0.7–0.8 mm. The hot end temperature was 165–175 °C, measured by a VWR thermocouple probe. The feeding speed was 100–120 mm min\(^{-1}\) until conduit breakage.

**Fuse-Printing Microchannel Scaffolds and Analysis:** PCL fibers with 35 vol% porosity were thermally drawn as described above. About 5–7 m of the fibers with 0.3 mm inner diameter were placed in cyclohexane for 12 h, with the solution changed three times for the outer polystyrene to be completely removed, while the inner polystyrene was only partially removed. The fibers were subsequently fed into a printing nozzle. The nozzle contained a short stainless steel hot end with a length of 0.3 mm to heat the fibers. The diameter of the hot end was 0.8 mm, which corresponded to the fiber outer diameter of 0.7–0.8 mm. The hot end temperature was 165–175 °C, measured by a VWR thermocouple probe. The feeding speed was 100–120 mm min\(^{-1}\) until conduit breakage.

**Conduit Characterization:** Conduits were cut with razor blades and coated with 3 nm of gold particles and imaged with SEM (Model 6010LA; JOEL). Salt and pore size quantification were done with ImageJ software. For EDX analysis, thermally drawn PCL conduits with 35 vol% porosity were thermally drawn as described above. About 5–7 m of the fibers with 0.3 mm inner diameter were placed in cyclohexane for 12 h, with the solution changed three times for the outer polystyrene to be completely removed, while the inner polystyrene was only partially removed. The fibers were subsequently fed into a printing nozzle. The nozzle contained a short stainless steel hot end with a length of 0.3 mm to heat the fibers. The diameter of the hot end was 0.8 mm, which corresponded to the fiber outer diameter of 0.7–0.8 mm. The hot end temperature was 165–175 °C, measured by a VWR thermocouple probe. The feeding speed was 100–120 mm min\(^{-1}\) until conduit breakage.

**Fuse-Printing Microchannel Scaffolds and Analysis:** PCL fibers with 35 vol% porosity were thermally drawn as described above. About 5–7 m of the fibers with 0.3 mm inner diameter were placed in cyclohexane for 12 h, with the solution changed three times for the outer polystyrene to be completely removed, while the inner polystyrene was only partially removed. The fibers were subsequently fed into a printing nozzle. The nozzle contained a short stainless steel hot end with a length of 0.3 mm to heat the fibers. The diameter of the hot end was 0.8 mm, which corresponded to the fiber outer diameter of 0.7–0.8 mm. The hot end temperature was 165–175 °C, measured by a VWR thermocouple probe. The feeding speed was 100–120 mm min\(^{-1}\) until conduit breakage.

**Fuse-Printing Microchannel Scaffolds and Analysis:** PCL fibers with 35 vol% porosity were thermally drawn as described above. About 5–7 m of the fibers with 0.3 mm inner diameter were placed in cyclohexane for 12 h, with the solution changed three times for the outer polystyrene to be completely removed, while the inner polystyrene was only partially removed. The fibers were subsequently fed into a printing nozzle. The nozzle contained a short stainless steel hot end with a length of 0.3 mm to heat the fibers. The diameter of the hot end was 0.8 mm, which corresponded to the fiber outer diameter of 0.7–0.8 mm. The hot end temperature was 165–175 °C, measured by a VWR thermocouple probe. The feeding speed was 100–120 mm min\(^{-1}\) until conduit breakage.
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In Vitro Setup and Immunohistochemistry: Non-porous and 35 vol% porous PCL conduits were sectioned to 10 mm in length. After the polystyrene and salt were removed as described above, the conduits and PCL films with similar porosities to those of conduits were placed in ethanol and set in the biosafety cabinet under UV for 45 min. Maintaining the samples sterile, ethanol was replaced with sterilized phosphate buffer saline (1xDPBS) and exchanged three times, letting it rest for 15 min each time, and then air-dried. In vitro studies were performed similar to a previous study. Briefly, samples were coated with Matrigel by applying reduced growth factor Matrigel (BD Biosciences) at a 1:30 dilution with a DRG medium (Neurobasal-A media supplemented with B-27 and glutamax; Life Technologies) for an hour at room temperature. The coated conduits and films were placed in a DRG medium in non-tissue culture 24-well plates (VWR Scientific Products) for at least 2 h. During this time, air bubbles were pressed out of the conduits using sterile tweezers. For the control experiments, 12-mm glass coverslips (Electron Microscopy Sciences) etched overnight in 10% hydrochloric acid solution (Sigma) and stored in 99% ethanol were used. The coverslips were dried over a flame and placed into 24-well plates and coated with Matrigel as described above. The isolated DRGs were sectioned in half and either placed inside the conduits at one end, or in the center of the films/coverslips. The media was changed every 3–4 days and the cultures were fixed on day 12 with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) in 1xDPBS for 40 min. The samples were then rinsed with 1xDPBS and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in 1xPBS for 25 min. Goat serum (2.5%) was used to block the samples at 4 °C overnight. Samples were then incubated in 1:50 rabbit anti-neurofilament primary antibody (N4142, MilliporeSigma) and 1:500 mouse anti-S100 (S2657, MilliporeSigma) diluted in 2.5% donkey serum for 2 h at room temperature, and rinsed three times in 1xPBS for 15 min each. Secondary antibody staining was done with 1:1000 goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 633 IgG (A21070, Life Technologies) and 1:1000 goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 568 IgG (A11004, Life Technologies) for 2 h, followed by three washes in 1xDPBS of 15 min each. To stain the nuclei, the samples were then incubated with 30 µm 6-diamidino-2-phenylindol (DAPI) (Life Technologies) for 2 min and washed with 1xDPBS three times. The samples were mounted on glass slides with VECTASHIELD mounting medium containing 6-diamidino-2-phenylindol (DAPI; VWR). Slides were imaged with a confocal microscope (Olympus FV1000 laser scanning confocal microscope). The maximum length of neurite growth from the center of the ganglia at the edge of the channels were used for analyzing growth in channels. Neurite growth length on the films was measured using ImageJ. Statistical analysis was done in Python.
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